

تأثير درجة حرارة التخمير وتركيز نترات الصوديوم في معدل النمو والكتلة الحيوية للطحلب المحلي Chlorella vulgaris المنمى في المفاعل الحيوي الدوار Influence of Fermentation Temperature and Sodium Nitrate Concentration on Growth Rate and Biomass Production of Local Chlorella Vulgaris Using Stirred Tank Photobioreactor

Hussaam Okkou⁽¹⁾ Adnan Ali Nizam⁽²⁾ Mohammad Naddaf⁽³⁾ Mohammad Fawaz Azmeh ⁽⁴⁾

(1) Department of Biodiversity, NCBT, Damascus, Syria.

(2) Plant Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Damascus University, Syria.

(3) Department of Food Science, Agriculture faculty, Tishreen University, Latakia, Syria.

(4) protection of Plant Department, Agriculture faculty, Damascus University, Syria

hus77sam@yahoo.com

الملخص

Abstract

The aim of this study was to optimize some culture conditions for the highest biomass production and growth rate of a local isolate of the microalgae *C. vulgaris*. The experiments were carried out between 2013 - 2015. Studied green algal strain was successfully isolated from Syrian freshwaters, and then incubated in the laboratory of national commission for biotechnology by bioreactors for the growth. The effect of three temperatures (15, 25, 35°C) and three sodium nitrate concentrations (0.1, 0.25, 0.4 g/L) on biomass production and growth rate of *C. Vulgaris* was determined. The dry biomass weight (0.361 g/L) and growth rate (0.237/ day) were highest at 25°C. No significant difference was observed neither between the averages of dry biomass or the averages of growth rate at 15°C and 35°C. On the other hand, the

©2018 The Arab Center for the Studies of Arid Zones and Dry Lands, All rights reserved. ISSN:2305 - 5243 ; AIF(NSP)-177

The Arab Journal for Arid Environments 11 (1 - 2) 2018

المجلة العربية للبيئات الجافة 11 (1-2) 2018

results showed that the nitrate concentration had no effect on biomass production, but not on the growth rate; as the maximum growth rate (0.257 day) was at 0.4g NaNO₃/L, with no significant difference with the other nitrate concentrations. So that, the recommended culturing parameters for high biomass production purpose were: 25°C and 0.1 g NaNO₃/L.

Keywords: Chlorella vulgaris, Photobioreactor, Biomass, Growth rate.

Introduction

Microalgae are a large group of fast growing unicellular or simple multicellular microorganisms (Wang *et al.*, 2008) which have several advantages, including higher photosynthetic efficiency, higher growth rates and higher biomass production compared to other energy crops (Goswami and Kalita, 2011).

Among the microalgae, Chlorella species have the most desirable features for efficient and economic combination of CO_2 fixation, and wastewater treatment (Blersch *et al.*, 2013). *Chlorella*, considered as a potential source of a wide spectrum of nutrients (e.g. carotenoids, vitamins, minerals), is used widely in the healthy food market, as well as for animal feed and aquaculture (Gouveia *et al.*, 2008). *Chlorella* can be a health promoting factor on many kinds of disorders, such as gastric ulcers, wounds, constipation, anemia, hypertension, diabetes infant malnutrition and neurosis (Yamaguchi, 1997).

The most important species of *Chlorella* genus is *C. vulgaris*, due to its good growth ability and good tolerance of different environmental conditions (Yaakob *et al.*, 2014). *C. vulgaris* is highly valued for its protein and minerals content (Sankar and Ramasubramanian, 2012).

Recently, many studies reported various cultivation technologies for the production of microalgae (Olaizola, 2003). Open ponds are one of the primary and effective methods for the large-scale production of microalgae (Chisti, 2007). Photobioreactors are alternative methods (Lebeau and Robert, 2003; Sato *et al.*, 2006) which provide a better opportunity to meet specific demands, and to optimize the control of cell growth parameters. In the design of Photobioreactors, many things need to be considered depending on what the end goal is (Sacasa-Castellanos, 2013). The most important and common design is Stirred-Tank Reactor (STR), being operationally and structurally simple, so it is an ideal device for cultivating different types of cells, including microalgae (Yang and Wang, 1992). STR can be beneficial in preliminary studies for optimizing the growth conditions, since it is easy to modeling and control the main experimental parameters (Sacasa-Castellanos, 2013).

Several strategies have been applied to improve microalgae growth, biomass production and lipid content. These include optimization of the medium composition (e.g., type of carbon source, nitrogen, phosphorus, vitamins and salts) (Mata *et al.*, 2010), and physical parameters (e.g., pH, temperature and light intensity) (Rawat *et al.*, 2013).

Temperature is an important element for growing algae. It strongly influences the growth rates for every species of algae. It is known that the growth rate will increase with the increase in temperature up to its optimum and then decrease drastically by increasing temperature (Cassidy, 2011). For *Chlorella vulgaris*, the optimum temperature ranges from 25 to 30°C (Cassidy, 2011), while Chinnasamy *et al.* (2009) reported an increase in biomass content at optimum temperature (30°C). *Chlorella vulgaris* can also grow in high temperatures up to 35°C (Converti *et al.*, 2009).

Nitrogen was quantitatively the most important nutrient affecting the biomass growth and lipid productivity

of various microalgae (Griffiths and Harrison, 2009). Therefore, it is important to utilize the appropriate nitrogen source at a suitable concentration, (Yeh and Chang, 2012). Many studies investigated the effect of nitrogen sources and concentration on biomass growth. The growth of *Chlorella vulgaris* is proportionally correlated to increasing concentrations of sodium nitrate more than 3mM NaNO₃ concentration in Bisschoff and Bold medium (BBM) (Battah *et al.*, 2014).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of temperature and nitrogen at various levels on *C. vulgaris* growth using a modified Photobioreactor, and to identify optimal conditions for the cell growth and biomass production.

Material and Methods

Microalgae and culture conditions

This study was carried out at the National Commission for Biotechnology (NCBT) during 2012. *C. vulgaris* was isolated from fresh water ponds located in Quneitra Province (South of Syria). The species was identified in laboratory of Plant Biology, Faculty of Science, Damascus University In collaboration with prof. Mostafa EL-Sheekh-Tanta University, Faculty of Science, Botany Department, Egypt. The way of identification depending on Characteristics and Morphological feature of the isolate have demonstrated its close similarity with genus chlorella vulgaris. The individual cells of the colonies were in the range of 10µm. Cells are green color, unicellular, spherical in shape.

The solid algae broth medium (sigma) was used to isolate and purify the studied algae by Petri-Dishes. The samples were incubated in illumined incubator at 25°C, 4000lux for 8 days. Then the inoculate were prepared by transferring the cells from Petri dishes, and incubated aseptically in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100ml liquid algae broth medium (Sigma Company). Erlenmeyer flasks were incubated in the same illumined incubator which has orbital shaker set at 150 rpm, temperature 25°C and illumination 4000lux for 8 days, then the volume was increased up to 300 ml in 1000 ml Erlenmeyer flasks to form a stock culture to inoculate the experimental media after 8 days as Modified methods of (Wang *et al.*, 2010).

All experiments were carried out in Stirred-Tank Reactor (STR), designed and realized in NCBT by the senior author. STR was equipped with PLC (programmable logic controller) that controls and monitors all growth parameters (temperature, light, pH, gas flow and mixing speed). Maximum capacity of the bioreactor was 14 L with a maximum working volume of 10 L.

Growth experiments were done at three different temperatures (15, 25 and $35 \circ C$), and three different nitrate concentrations (0.1, 0.25 and 0.4g/L) in 10 L of BBM, and the initial cell concentration was set to be its optical density at 680nm (OD₆₈₀) 0.150. Each batch cultivation was carried out three times for 15 days at fixed parameters (continuous illumination 6000Lux, mixing speed 250 rpm, pH= 7, air mixed with CO₂ 500ppm with flow rate 2L/min, (temperature 25°C when the nitrate concentration was the variable, and nitrate concentration 0.25g/L when the temperature was the variable). The central values of temperature, 25°C were chosen according to (Hernandez *et al.*, 2009; Brown *et al.*, 1998). Then, the additional experiments were done with increasing and reducing the growth temperature by 5°C. the central concentrations of nitrogen in medium 0.25g/L were selected depending on (Guillard, 1975), and the additional cultivations were run at 0.1 and 0.4g/L.

-Estimation of Algal Growth rate /day (GR)(day)

Microalgae growth was monitored by measuring the optical density at 680 nm. Samples of the culture media were taken every day, for OD_{680} measurement using a spectrophotometer at wave length 680nm (HITACHI U-2900) as the algal density indicator. The growth rate was calculated by fitting OD_{680} in the following formula (Wang *et al.*, 2010):

$GR = (InOD_t - InOD_0)/t$

OD₀: the optical density at inoculation day.

OD_t: the optical density measured on day t.

Each recorded ODt was corrected by taking away that of the corresponding blank sample.

-Determination of Biomass Dry Cell Weight (DCW)

Dry Biomass content was determined according to the modified method of Yadavalli *et al.* (2012) by measuring OD_{680} using a spectrophotometer at wave length 680nm. The conversion factor was established by plotting OD_{680} versus DCW of a series of samples with different biomass concentrations. Samples were diluted by appropriate ratios to ensure that the measured OD_{680} values were within the range of 0.09–2.4. DCW of the sample was determined gravimetrically after drying, and collecting the algal cells by centrifugation (5,000 rpm, 10 min), and washing with water. The linear regression Equation was obtained for *C. vulgaris* species as described in Figure 1.



OD (optical dencity) at 680nm



Statistical analysis

The analyses of dry biomass and growth rate variance were performed for the cultures grown in the different culture conditions (Temperatures: $15 \circ C$, $25 \circ C$ and $35 \circ C$. Nitrate concentrations: 0.1g/L, 0.25g/L and 0.4g/L. pH: 6, 7and 8). The effect of the culture conditions on the dry biomass and growth rate were analyzed statistically. Significantly different mean values were established by means of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey Test (P < 0.01). Statistical analyses were carried out using Statistical program (SPSS, 2010).

Results and Discussion

-Effect of temperature

Biomass production

The effect of temperature on the daily increase of dry biomass is expressed in Figure 2, which shows that the actual increase began after the second day of inoculation at temperatures 25°C and 35°C, while the increase at 15°C began after the third day.



Figure 2. The Dry biomass curve in different temperatures. Different letters above the lines indicate to significant differences (p<0.01) among means within each temperature.

This is due to the Lag phase that algae need, to adapt with the growth medium according to (Spencer, 1954). Therefore, it has been noticed that the lower temperatures led to a longer lag phase. The next phase is the Exponential one, when the dry biomass significantly (P<0.01) increased by time at all temperatures. This result is consistent with (Becker, 1994) who explained the systematic duplication of algae cells during this phase. The highest values of dry biomass were obtained at 25°C, where the average value of the dry biomass at 25°C was 0.361 g/L (Table 1), this result converges with the result of Chinnasamy *et al.*, (2009), which showed that the biomass increased significantly (P<0.01) near a temperature of 25°C in *C. vulgaris*.

The insignificance of differences (P<0.01) between biomass formed at 35°C and 15 C° suggested that, 15°C and 35°C had an similar effect on the algae biomass compared to the optimal temperature 25°C.

Growth rate

The highest average of the daily growth was at temperature 25° C (0.237/day) as shown in (table 1), this is consistent with Cassidy (2011) who obtained the maximum growth of *C. vulgaris* between 25° C and 30° C.

Also, no significant increase (P<0.01) in the average growth rate at 35°C (0.183/day) compared with that at 15°C (0.142/day) has been noticed. This corresponds to Converti *et al.*, (2009) who indicated that *C. vulgaris* can grow well at relatively high temperature up to 35°C.

		dry biomass ±SD (g/L)	Growth rate ±SD (Day)
°Temperatur	15°C	0.186 ± 0.116ª	0.142 ± 0.047^{a}
	25°C	0.361 ± 0.232⁵	0.237 ± 0.075 ^b
	35°C	0.246 ± 0.155ª	0.183 ± 0.056ª
NaNO ₃ (g/L)	0.1	0.271 ± 0.182ª	0.192 ± 0.058ª
	0.25	0.361 ± 0.232ª	0.237 ± 0.075ª
	0.4	0.396 ± 0.246ª	0.257 ± 0.087 ^b

Table1. Means ±SD of dry biomasses and growth rate during the entire growth period at threetemperatures and three concentrations of sodium nitrate.

Different letter in each Column for each variable parameter indicates to a significant difference (p < 0.01) between means.

-Effect of nitrate concentrations

Biomass production

The effect of the concentration of sodium nitrate on the daily increase of dry biomass is shown in Figure (3). The daily increase during most days was significant (P<0.01) at all concentrations, and associated with the Exponential phase, which began in the second day of inoculation at concentration 0.4 g/L, but in the third one at both concentrations 0.1g/L and 0.25 g/L, since the high concentration of nitrate led to a rapid end of the Lag phase. Moreover, the highest values of dry biomass through all days was at concentration 0.4 g/L, followed by concentration 0.25 g/L then 0.1 g/L, Figure (3). However, these differences in dry biomass were insignificant (P<0.01), which may indicate to that dry biomass can be produced in the three concentrations. In other words, nitrate concentration does not have any significant effect on the biomass over the range of NaNO₃ 0.1 g/L to 0.4 g/L, this was confirmed by the results shown in the (table 1) which refers to the average of dry biomass (0.273, 0.361 and 0.396 g/L) during the total period of growth at three concentrations of sodium nitrate; 0.1, 0.25 and 0.4 g/L, respectively.



Figure 3. The dry biomass curve grown in the different concentrations of sodium nitrate. Different letters above the lines indicate to significant differences (p<0.01) among means within each concentration.

-Growth rate

Table 1 explained that the highest growth rate 0.257/day was at the highest sodium nitrate concentration (0.4 g/L), while no significant differences were recorded between the others, this reveals that there is no mutual effect between growth rate and sodium nitrate concentration within the range (0.1 g/L, 0.25 g/L), and this was incompatible with Battah *et al.*, (2014), who suggested that increasing the concentration of sodium nitrate in growth medium above 3mM (0.25 g/L) commensurate with the increasing in the growth rate.

Conclusion

Fermentation at 25°C showed the highest value for biomass and growth rate. The highest growth rate of *C. vulgaris* was at the nitrate concentration 0.4g/L. By contrast, Biomass was not significantly influenced by nitrate concentration, so any increase in nitrate concentration was useless and not economic.

Therefore, we recommend culturing *C. vulgaris*, for its high biomass production purpose, at 25°C and 0.1 g/L NaNO₃.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the staff of the Syrian National Commission for Biotechnology (NCBT) Damascus, Syria. for providing laboratory facilities to realize this research.

References

- -Battah, M.G., Y.M. El-Ayoty, A.E. Esmael and S.E. Abd El-Ghany. 2014. Effect of different concentrations of sodium nitrate, sodium chloride, and ferrous sulphate on the growth and lipid content of *Chlorella vulgaris*. Journal of Agricultural Technology, (10): 339-353
- -Becker, E.W. 1994. Microalgae: Biotechnology and Microbiology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- -Blersch, D.M., P.C. Kangas and W.W. Mulbry. 2013. Turbulance and Nutrient Interactions That Control Benthic Algal Production in an Engineered Cultivation Raceway. Journal of Algal Research (2): 107-112.
- -Brown, M.R., M.A. McCausl and K. Kowalski. 1998. The nutritional value of four Australian microalga strains fed to Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* spat. Journal of Aquaculture, (165): 281–293.
- -Cassidy, K. O. 2011. Evaluating Algal Growth at Different Temperatures. Kentucky, United States: University of Kentucky, MSc thesis.
- -Chinnasamy, S., B. Ramakrishnan, A. Bhatnagar and K. C. Das. 2009. Biomass Production Potential of a Wastewater Alga Chlorella vulgaris ARC 1 under Elevated Levels of CO2 and Temperature. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, (10): 518 532.
- -Chisti, Y. 2007. Biodiesel from microalgae. Journal of Biotechnology Advances, (25): 294-306.
- -Converti, A., A. A. Casazza, E. Y. Ortiz, P. Perego and M. Del Borghi. 2009. Effect of temperature and nitrogen concentration on the growth and lipid content of *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella vulgaris* for biodiesel production. Journal of Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification, (48):1146-1151.
- -Goswami, R. and M. Kalita. 2011. *Scenedesmus dimorphus* and *Scenedesmus quadricauda*: two potent indigenous microalgae strains for biomass production and CO2 mitigation A study on their growth behavior and lipid productivity under different concentration of urea as nitrogen source. Journal of Algal Biomass Utilization, (2): 42- 49.
- -Gouveia, L., A. P. Batista, I. Sousa, A. Raymundo and N. M. Bandarra. 2008. Microalgae in Novel food production. In Konstantinos N. Papadopoulos, p.p. (Eds). Food Chemistry Research Developments, p. 1-37. Lisboa, Portugal : Nova Science Publishers.
- -Griffiths, M.J. and S.T.L. Harrison. 2009. Lipid productivity as a key characteristic for choosing algal species for biodiesel production. Journal of Applied Phycology, (21): 493–507.
- -Guillard, R.R.L. 1975. Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates, in: Smith, W.L. and Chanley, M.H. (Eds.). Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals, p. 26-60. New York: Plenum Press.
- -Hernandez, J.P., L.E. de-Bashan, D.J. Rodriguez, Y. Rodriguez and Y. Bashan. 2009. Growth promotion of the freshwater microalga *Chlorella vulgaris* by the nitrogen-fixing, plant growth-promoting bacterium *Bacillus pumilus* from arid zone soils. European Journal of Soil Biology, (45): 88–93.
- -Lebeau, T. and J.M. Robert. 2003. Diatom cultivation and biotechnologically relevant products. Part I: Cultivation at various scales. Journal of applied microbiology and biotechnology, (60): 612-623.
- -Mata, T.M., A.A. Martins and N.S. Caetano. 2010. Microalgae for Biodiesel Production and Other Applications: A review. Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, (14): 217-232.
- -Olaizola, M. 2003. Commercial development of microalgal biotechnology: from the test tube to the marketplace. Biomolecular Engineering journal, (20): 459-66.

The Arab Journal for Arid Environments 11 (1 - 2) 2018

- -Rawat, I., R. Ranjith Kumar, T. Mutanda and F. Bux. 2013. Biodiesel from Microalgae: A Critical Evaluation from Laboratory to Large Scale Production. Applied Energy Journal, (103): 444-467.
- -Sacasa-Castellanos, C. 2013. Batch and continuous studies of *Chlorella vulgaris* in photo-bioreactors. Ontario, Canada: University of Western Ontario, MSc thesis.
- -Sankar M., V. Ramasubramanian. 2012. Biomass production of commercial algae *Chlorella vulgaris* on different culture media. E- Journal of life science (1): 56-60.
- -Sato, T., S. Usui, Y. Tsuchiya and Y. Kondo. 2006. Invention of outdoor closed type Photobioreactor for microalgae. Journal of Energy Conversion and Management, (47): 791-799.
- -Spencer, C. P. 1954. Studies on the culture of a marine diatom. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, (33): 265-290.
- -Wang, L., M. Min, Y. Li, P. Chen, Y. Chen , Y. Liu, Y. Wang and R. Ruan. 2010. Cultivation of Green Algae *Chlorella* sp. in Different Wastewaters from Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant. Appl Biochem Biotechnol journal, Volume (162): 1174-1186
- -Wang, B., Y. Li, N. Wu and C.Q. Lan. 2008. CO2 bio-mitigation using microalgae. Journal of applied microbiology and biotechnology, (79) : 707–718.
- -Yaakob, Z., K. F. Kamarudin, R. Rajkumar, M. S. Takriff and S. N. Badar. 2014. The Current Methods for the Biomass Production of the Microalgae from Wastewaters.World Applied Sciences Journal, (31): 1744-1758.
- -Yadavalli, R., S.R. Rao and C.S. Rao. 2012. Lipid accumulation studies in *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* using customized photobioreactor- effect of nitrogen source, light intensity and mode of operation. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, (2): 2446-2453.
- -Yamaguchi, K. 1997. Recent advances in microalgal bioscience in Japan, with special reference to utilization of biomass and metabolites. Journal of Applied Phycology, (8): 487-502.
- -Yang, J., and N.S. Wang. 1992. Cell inactivation in the presence of sparing and mechanical agitation. Journal of biotechnology and bioengineering, (40): 806-816.
- -Yeh, K.L., and J.S. Chang. 2012. Effects of Cultivation Conditions and Media Composition on Cell Growth and Lipid Productivity of Indigenous Microalga Chlorella vulgaris ESP-31. Journal of bioresource technology, (105): 120-127.

N° ref- 682